



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/630,141	07/30/2003	John J. Giobbi	47079-0107D2	9474
30223 7.	590 01/04/2005		EXAMINER	
JENKENS & GILCHRIST, P.C.			ASHBURN, STEVEN L	
225 WEST WAS	ASHINGTON	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			3714	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
	,	10/630		GIOBBI, JOHN J.				
Office Action Summary		Examir		Art Unit				
			Ashburn	3714				
	The MAILING DATE of this communic			th the correspondence address				
Period fo	• •							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commus period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. days, a reply within the sutory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a restatutory minimum of thind will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AE	reply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>01 June 2004</i>	!.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2th	o)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 75-82 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 75-82 is/are rejected.							
Applicat	on Papers							
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠	)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t		•	` ,				
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority d  2. Certified copies of the priority d  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be f the priority docur al Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in A ments have been kule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔀 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/30/03</u> .		Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date  Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3714

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 75-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raven et al., U.S. 5,429,361 (Jul. 4, 1995) in view of Sizer.

Claim 75. Raven discloses a player tracking system for a gaming machine wherein data carried on a player's portable data unit is used to access monetary information from the player's monetary account stored at a central host computer, the player's account is associated with the personal identifier; monetary information is transmitted from the central host computer to gaming machine and a game is played on the machine using the transmitted information. See, e.g., fig. 1-3; col. 1:38-2:3, 10:37-11:62. When the player is not interacting with the machine, the player tracking system enters an "attract mode" wherein promotional messages are displayed. See col. 5:15-29. Upon detection of a player's portable data unit, the device displays personalized information. See fig. 2. With regard to the claim, Raven discloses all the limitations except detecting the presence of a passerby proximate to the gaming machine, the passerby not playing the gaming machine, and modifying the operation of the gaming machine in response to detecting the presence of the passerby. As discussed below, this feature would have been obvious to an gaming artisan in view of Sizer.

Sizer discloses an audiovisual marketing machine capable of detecting a portable data unit carried by a person allowing the device to automatically interact with the person within proximity of the machine using personalized information contained on the data unit. *See col. 6:4-17; 16:14-32.* For example, at a trade show or exhibition a person may be given an RF card containing information on the person. *See id.* 

Application/Control Number: 10/630,141

Art Unit: 3714

When that passerby approaches a device, the device detects the portable data unit and delivers information to the passerby which is personalized according to the identity information contained on the portable data unit . See id.

Page 3

Sizer's system is directed at the attracting a passerby to interact with point-of-sale devices and storing information tracking their interaction. A casino is merely a specialized type of commercial establishment where the point of sale devices are gaming machines. In view of Sizer, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of gaming devices to modify the player tracking system disclosed by Raven, wherein the machine displays an attract mode to players until it detects a player's portable data unit and then displays personalized information, to add the feature of detecting the presence of a passerby proximate to the gaming machine, the passerby not playing the gaming machine and modifying the operation of the gaming machine in response to detecting the presence of the passerby. As suggested by Sizer, the modification would increase use of the game devices by initiating personalized attraction displays when a passersby is within proximity of the gaming device; and at the same time, collecting statistical information on the interaction to increase the effectiveness of future displays. See col. 8:6-49, 15:66-16:32, 22:10-36.

Claim 76. Sizer disclose establishing a wireless transmission link between a first wireless transceiver on the machine and a second wireless transceiver on the passerby. See col. 6:4-17; 16:14-32.

Claims 77 and 81. Sizer discloses a portable data unit allowing the identity of the passerby to be determined. See id.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,141

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 78, 79 and 82. Sizer discloses inviting the passerby to interact with the machine. Thus,

the system suggested by the combination of Raven with Sizer, wherein the machine offers a game

suggests inviting the passerby to play the machine.

Claim 80. Raven discloses operating in an attract mode prior to detecting the presence of a

player. See col. 5:15-29.

**Conclusion** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Steven Ashburn whose telephone number is 571-272-4445. The examiner can normally be

reached on Monday thru Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris H Banks can be reached on 571-272-4119. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

s.a.

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700